# OPINION 1287 SESIA ANDRENAEFORMIS LASPEYRES, 1801 (INSECTA, LEPIDOPTERA): CONSERVED

RULING.—(1) Under the plenary powers the specific name anthraciniformis Esper, 1798, as published in the binomen Sphinx anthraciniformis, is hereby suppressed for the purposes of the Law of Priority but not for those of the Law of Homonymy.

(2) The specific name andrenaeformis Laspeyres, 1801, as published in the binomen Sesia andrenaeformis, is hereby placed on the Official List of

Specific Names in Zoology with the Name Number 2915.

(3) The specific name *anthraciniformis* Esper, 1798, as published in the binomen *Sphinx anthraciniformis*, and as suppressed under the plenary powers in (1) above, is hereby placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology with the Name Number 1136.

## HISTORY OF THE CASE Z.N.(S.)2139

An application for the conservation of *Sesia andrenaeformis* Laspeyres, 1801, was first received from Dr N. P. Kristensen (*Universitetets zoologiske Museum, Copenhagen*) on 1 August 1975. It was sent to the printer on 15 April 1980 and published on 25 September 1980 in *Bull. zool. Nom.* vol. 37, pp. 156–158. Public notice of the possible use of the plenary powers in the case was given in the same part of the *Bulletin*, as well as to the statutory serials, to seven general serials and eight entomological serials. No comment was received.

## DECISION OF THE COMMISSION

On 12 March 1984 the members of the Commission were invited to vote under the Three-Month Rule on Voting Paper (1984)12 for or against the proposals set out in *Bull. zool. Nom.* vol. 37, p. 157. At the close of the voting period on 12 June 1984 the state of the voting was as follows:

Affirmative Votes — twenty (20) received in the following order: Melville, Savage, Cocks, Willink, Schuster, Halvorsen, Trjapitzin, Starobogatov, Holthuis, Brinck, Binder, Corliss, Alvarado, Bayer, Uéno, Kraus, Ride, Heppell, Lehtinen, Mroczkowski

Negative Votes — two (2): Hahn, Cogger.

Dupuis abstained. No votes were returned by Bernardi and Sabrosky.

Hahn commented: 'Important details are missing here: are anthraciniformis and andrenaeformis objective or subjective synonyms, are their types preserved and, following this, should the relative precedence procedure not have been better used? These things are not clear, and so I vote against.'

#### **ORIGINAL REFERENCES**

The following are the original references for the names placed on an Official List and an Official Index by the ruling given in the present Opinion: andrenaeformis, Sesia, Laspeyres, 1801, Sesiae europaeae iconibus et descriptionibus illustratae, p. 20

anthraciniformis, Sphinx, Esper, 1799, Die Schmetterlinge in Abbildungen nach der Natur mit Beschreibungen, Suppl. Bd. (2 Abschnitt), Abendschmett., p. 29.

#### CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the votes cast on V.P. (64)12 were cast as set out above, that the proposal contained in that voting paper has been duly adopted under the plenary powers, and that the decision so taken, being the decision of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, is truly recorded in the present Opinion Number 1287.

R. V. MELVILLE

Secretary International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature London 11 July 1984